# lagging News 

News from the ORI Cooperative Fish Tagging Project -Number 34 •Published July 2021 •Results from 2020


# From the Tagging Officer... 

## Gareth Jordaan



Welcome to the $34^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Tagging News. For nearly four decades the Tagging News has communicated the results of the cooperative efforts between fishery scientists and anglers, and has successfully promoted ethical angling, while tracking the movement patterns and growth rates of some of our common linefish species caught around the southern African coast.

In 2020 we had a remarkable 322 new members join the ORI - Cooperative Fish Tagging Project (ORI-CFTP), 59 more than the number that joined in 2019 and more than double the number that joined in 2018! This shows that more anglers are understanding the importance of tag and release and want to contribute to the research and conservation of our linefish. Despite the increase in new members, our total number of fish tagged (9378) in 2020 was the lowest it has been in 12 years. However, our total recaptures (781) increased from last year, including a new time-at-liberty record for the ORI-CFTP (see page 19). These lower-than-usual tagging numbers were mainly due to the COVID-19 Pandemic, and much to the frustration of many anglers, the fishing restrictions implemented during the various Lockdown Levels. This also meant that we had a lower tagging effort by our scientific tagging groups that tag throughout the year in various Marine Protected Areas, and who contribute a large percentage of our tag release and recapture numbers each year (see table on page 12). Despite all this, the total number of fish tagged and recaptured for the ORI-CFTP to date is 350742 and 21558 respectively, a truly remarkable achievement!

Charles Lilford was our top tagger for 2020, with an impressive 232 fish tagged, and was followed closely by Mark Galpin with 228fish tagged. As for recaptures, Charles has had four (2\%) of the fish he tagged recaptured, while Mark has had 23 ( $10 \%$ ) recaptured (see table on page 6). Remember, it's not always about the number of fish you tag but rather about the way in which you handle and tag them! We encourage all our members to watch our muchimproved instructional tagging videos (thanks to SAAMBR and Ocean Planet Media) which can be viewed via our ORI TAG Facebook page, our SAAMBR website and/or the SAAMBR YouTube channel (please also share them with your fishing buddies). Please remember to record the sex of any sharks or rays that you tag or recapture (the males have visible external claspers), by filling this out in
the comments section of your tag card and/or submission form, or by ticking the box on the new tag cards.

Retaining that number one spot, South Africa's national fish, the galjoen, was again the top tagged fish in 2020 (1239) and overall. White steenbras continued to climb the ranks moving up two places from last year, a very encouraging result indeed! Bronze whaler/copper sharks and smoothhound sharks took back their places in the top 10 species tagged, kicking out elf/shad and raggedtooth sharks. Interestingly, only 149 shad were tagged in 2020, possibly raising some concerns about their abundance (see figure on page 4).

Our 38 Facebook posts in 2020 reached about 473000 people. This means that our greater presence on social media (follow us on Facebook at ORI TAG and subscribe to the SAAMBR YouTube channel) and the new tagging website (www.oritag.org.za) has not only increased the number of anglers interested in joining the ORI-CFTP, but has also helped more people to understand the importance of our research.

This edition of the Tagging News has some great articles on discovering the secrets of cavebass (page 16), some interesting research done on juvenile Zambezi sharks in St Lucia Estuary (page 5), and impressions of the ORI Tagging Project by an avid tagger (page 3). Also in store for you is a selection of amazing recaptures and a bit more about the ORI Fish App. Our focus species this year is the white musselcracker/brusher, which I am sure many of you will enjoy reading about (page 21).

We sincerely hope that you enjoy this online version of the Tagging News, which allows us to include a lot more interactive content than our previous printed versions. We would like to say a big THANK YOU to all our tagging members for your ongoing support. The past year of the COVID-19 pandemic has been particularly difficult for everyone. We urge you all to try and stay happy, sanitise, wear your mask and social distance as much as possible. Stay safe out there and remember:
"Be patient and calm - for no one can catch fish in anger". Herbert Hoover.

## We wish you tight lines and happy tagging!

Acknowledgements: Financial and administrative support from the South African Association for Marine Biological Research and the KwaZulu-Natal Department of Economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank IFISH Tackle Wholesalers for their generous donation towards this year's edition of the Tagging News.

## Impressions of the ORI Tagging Project

## Jeff Asherwood an avid tagger



I moved to the little town of St Lucia in northern KZN in the year 2000. If my memory serves me correctly, I met up with Bruce Mann for the first time since we had left school at the 2001 South African Shore Angling Association Nationals in Zululand where he was busy tagging some of the fish that were caught, and I was guiding the Northern Transvaal team. Bruce explained to me what he was doing and the reasons why tagging fish was so important. I loved the concept and immediately asked how I could become a member and get a tagging kit. It was not long after that I had my kit and became a proud member of the ORI Tagging Project.

I had been tagging for about a year or so when I heard about Bruce's tagging project in the Marine Protected Area north of Cape Vidal. I asked how I could get involved and was told that I had to have tagged at least 100 fish or more to be considered. Well, I soon reached the target and have been part of Bruce's project for the past 19 years, which has been a fascinating and very educational experience!

I now run the Fat Bike Fishing concession based at St Lucia (follow us on Facebook) and we fish the area from Mapelane to Leven Point. For me, the best part of being involved with the ORI Tagging Project is the information I get from fish that we have tagged that are
later recaptured. At present the overall recapture rate for the Tagging Project is about $6 \%$ which is quite incredible when you think how a tagged fish could just disappear into that massive ocean.

One of my most memorable recaptures is a catface rockcod that I or my clients have now re-caught no less than five times! If I had not tagged and released that fish, I would never have known that it was the same one. Unbelievably, I've also caught that rockcod twice on the same day! Apart from the obvious resident behaviour, this tells me that we are handling our fish correctly and placing limited stress on the fish. Limiting time out the water is key, and I always try and keep my fish in a bucket of water or rock pool until I am ready to measure and tag it (and if a special catch take a photo of it). I also use barbless circle hooks as they are easy to remove and if swallowed, they can simply be cut off and will normally come out on their own.

So many of my clients are now very pro tag and release after they have been with me for a day's fishing. For
 any avid marine angler, the ORI Tagging Project is certainly something worth considering getting involved in. It is also a useful way of contributing to the conservation of our marine angling fish from which we anglers derive so much pleasure!


For more information contact Jeff on: T: +27662388103. E: fatbikefishing@gmail.com

Percentage of fish tagged along the Southern African coast in 2020
(Percentages in brackets indicate overall distribution of tagging since 1984)


Top 10 species tagged in 2020 (percentages in brackets indicate overall composition of tagging since 1984)

Dusky kob 9\% (7\%)
Dusky shark 5\% (4\%)

6
White steenbras 5\% (2\%)
9) Yellowbelly rockcod $3 \%(1 \%)$

10 Smooth hound 2\% (2\%)
8 copper shark 3\% (3\%)


3 Spotted grunter $8 \%$ (4\%)


## St Lucia Shark Tagging

## Ryan Daly



St Lucia Estuary, located on the east coast of South Africa, is the largest estuarine lake system in Africa and has been part of a UNESCO World Heritage Site since 1999. Its surface area of approximately $300-350 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ makes up almost $80 \%$ of all estuarine area in KwaZulu-Natal, and is the largest nursery habitat for estuarine fish species in South Africa. However, since 2002 the mouth did not connect with the sea except for a short period in 2007 following a big sea event. On January $6^{\text {th }} 2021$, the beach berm separating the St Lucia Estuary from the sea was artificially breached allowing the system to reconnect with the sea for the first time in over a decade.


St Lucia has been a critical habitat for Zambezi sharks that use the system as a nursery area. However, since the mouth closure in 2002, Zambezi sharks have not pupped at St Lucia and this key nursery habitat was unavailable for these sharks. Thus, it came as a surprise when adult Zambezi sharks were seen at the mouth on the day it was opened, as if they had been waiting for it. It came as even more of a surprise when pregnant sharks were seen entering the mouth within the first week of it being open and the first pups were found at the mouth only 10 days after it opened. Pupping at the mouth within 10 days of it opening is a remarkably rapid response by these sharks and highlights how important St Lucia is as a nursery for this species. As Zambezi sharks
are known to exhibit natal philopatry, it is also possible that adult sharks that pupped at St Lucia had used specific environmental cues to return to their ancestral pupping grounds.

To understand what would happen to the newly pupped Zambezi sharks at the mouth, we fitted 9 pups with small acoustic tags that transmit a unique code for over three years. To monitor the tagged sharks, we then placed underwater acoustic receivers in the St Lucia Estuary narrows upstream of the mouth. Remarkably, one shark swam over 2 km upstream into St Lucia on the same day we tagged it at the mouth. However, the remaining pups seemed to stay at the mouth for a few months before two sharks recruited into St Lucia in April. Finally, another shark swam up into St Lucia estuary in early June, just as the mouth closed after 149 days of being open to the sea.


Our study hopes to confirm that Zambezi shark pups ultimately recruit into St Lucia Estuary and survive. Survival for these newly pupped sharks is always a challenge and during this study we recorded a large Nile crocodile preying on a Zambezi shark pup at the mouth. Although there is evidence of sharks being eaten by crocodiles at St Lucia, this was one of the first records of a predation. St Lucia Estuary is home to one of the greatest concentrations of Nile crocodiles in South Africa and they have historically been recorded opportunistically preying on available food sources. The interaction between the crocodile and shark highlighted the unique interaction between these ocean and freshwater top predators that happens at the interface of these environments. St Lucia is certainly deserved of its World Heritage status conferred upon it for its natural beauty and biodiversity and we hope that such unique observations will further motivate the need to conserve and manage the system in a way that promotes its ecological functioning and protects its unique biodiversity.


Top Taggers: 11 or more fish tagged in 2020

| Member name | $2020 \text { tag }$ releases | Total taggings | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \text { tag } \\ & \text { recap- } \\ & \text { tures } \end{aligned}$ | Total tag recaptures | \% Recapt. | Member name | $2020 \text { tag }$ releases | Total taggings | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \text { tag } \\ \text { recap- } \\ \text { tures } \end{gathered}$ | Total tag recaptures | \% Recapt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHARLES LILFORD | 232 | 3093 | 4 | 135 | 4\% | SHALVIN NAIDOO | 43 | 144 | 2 | 8 | 6\% |
| MARK GALPIN | 228 | 767 | 23 | 65 | 8\% | VICTOR HOGAN | 42 | 64 | 4 | 4 | 6\% |
| SHAWN MEY | 215 | 1410 | 5 | 67 | 5\% | STEFAN OOSTHUIZEN | 41 | 431 | 4 | 34 | 8\% |
| JOHN LUEF | 195 | 654 | 16 | 68 | 10\% | DYLAN LEES | 40 | 40 | - | - | - |
| KEVIN HUMPHREYS | 187 | 2374 | 11 | 113 | 5\% | STEPHAN BRILL | 39 | 77 | 2 | 2 | 3\% |
| JACQUES DE LA HARPE | 116 | 1226 | 5 | 90 | 7\% | NIKOS NICOLAIDIS | 38 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 3\% |
| DONAVAN COLE | 109 | 983 | 3 | 25 | 3\% | PIETER MULLER | 37 | 753 | 2 | 31 | 4\% |
| DWAYNE BOSHOFF | 107 | 115 | 2 | 2 | 2\% | MAARTEN MOLENAAR | 36 | 674 | 3 | 39 | 6\% |
| BRADLEY SPARG | 101 | 2495 | 7 | 142 | 6\% | BOB SHEPHERD | 36 | 721 | 1 | 25 | 3\% |
| NIEL MALAN | 100 | 451 | 10 | 27 | 6\% | DION GOVINDER | 36 | 444 | 12 | 61 | 14\% |
| STRINIVASEN ROLAND NAICKER | 92 | 259 | 2 | 10 | 4\% | JACQUES-PIERRE GELDENHUYS | 35 | 461 | 4 | 33 | 7\% |
| GARETH GOUGH | 86 | 551 | 9 | 41 | 7\% | AVASHIN NAIDOO | 34 | 42 | 7 | 7 | 17\% |
| MARCO WILDEMANN | 84 | 136 | 2 | 3 | 2\% | RUSSEL BERMAN | 34 | 201 | 2 | 7 | 3\% |
| CHARLES DE LA HARPE | 84 | 485 | 6 | 52 | 11\% | BRAD CARR | 33 | 974 | 3 | 74 | 8\% |
| JEFF ASHERWOOD | 83 | 635 | 12 | 47 | 7\% | BRYSON CHUNDER | 32 | 45 | 7 | 8 | 18\% |
| GRAHAM POLLARD | 80 | 322 | 3 | 11 | 3\% | DEON VAN EMMENIS | 32 | 80 | - | 3 | 4\% |
| ROGER DAVISON | 78 | 220 | 8 | 23 | 10\% | MELISSA LUCAS | 31 | 41 | 1 | 2 | 5\% |
| BERRIE FERREIRA | 77 | 781 | 4 | 29 | 4\% | KYLE HANSEN | 30 | 400 | 4 | 30 | 8\% |
| NIC DE KOCK | 73 | 2029 | 5 | 132 | 7\% | URSULA OTTO | 29 | 131 | - | 4 | 3\% |
| FRED CLARKE | 67 | 73 | 1 | 1 | 1\% | CHARL GROBLER | 29 | 33 | 2 | 2 | 6\% |
| DON MARX | 66 | 238 | 1 | 15 | 6\% | STEPHAN OLIVIER | 29 | 64 | - | 1 | 2\% |
| JASON BRINK | 63 | 433 | 4 | 13 | 3\% | STEFAN VAN HUYSSTEEN | 28 | 210 | 2 | 7 | 3\% |
| JAYSON JOOSTE | 57 | 57 | 1 | 1 | 2\% | JUNAID ISMAIL | 28 | 280 | 6 | 42 | 15\% |
| GERRIE GROBLER | 56 | 693 | 1 | 32 | 5\% | EDUARD STEYLS | 28 | 210 | 2 | 6 | 3\% |
| MARLIN KINSEY | 53 | 134 | 2 | 6 | 4\% | PATRICK MORRIS | 28 | 887 | 1 | 57 | 6\% |
| JACQUES MATTHYSEN | 52 | 92 | 3 | 10 | 11\% | RICHARD BOUCHER | 27 | 35 | - | - | - |
| MATHEW WEEDMAN | 51 | 561 | 22 | 80 | 14\% | FRANCOIS KLEYN | 27 | 34 | - | - | - |
| RUAN VAN DER WALT | 51 | 258 | 1 | 13 | 5\% | BARRY-JOHN CADLE | 27 | 51 | 1 | 1 | 2\% |
| WILLIAM FERREIRA | 50 | 384 | 6 | 22 | 6\% | CHRIS FALLOWS | 27 | 1590 | 2 | 53 | 3\% |
| STEPHAN MARX | 49 | 80 | 1 | 3 | 4\% | JACQUES MALHERBE | 27 | 111 | 1 | 9 | 8\% |
| JUANDRÉ GELDENHUYS | 48 | 62 | 2 | 2 | 3\% | ROBERT PACE | 27 | 58 | - | 4 | 7\% |
| KIRK WEBBER | 46 | 360 | 1 | 19 | 5\% | MORNE OLIVIER | 26 | 51 | - | - | - |
| FRANCOIS KEMP | 46 | 135 | 6 | 9 | 7\% | CRAIG NELSON | 26 | 684 | 4 | 47 | 7\% |
| BRETT HARRIS | 45 | 182 | - | 4 | 2\% | JJ STRYDOM | 26 | 188 | 2 | 9 | 5\% |
| DIVAN COETZER | 44 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 2\% | KEOLIN MOODLEY | 26 | 101 | 1 | 4 | 4\% |
| MATTHEW NOTHARD | 44 | 107 | - | - | - | JANNIE VAN BLERK | 26 | 88 | - | 2 | 2\% |
| RYAN TAYLOR | 43 | 430 | 6 | 46 | 11\% | PIETER DU TOIT | 26 | 207 | 1 | 7 | 3\% |

## Top Taggers: 11 or more fish tagged in 2020

| Member name | 2020 tag releases | Total taggings | 2020 tag recaptures | Total tag recaptures | \% Recapt. | Member name | 2020 tag releases | Total taggings | 2020 tag recaptures | Total tag recaptures | \% Recapt. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RON MAGGS | 26 | 148 | - | 4 | 3\% | BEVAN MORRIS | 15 | 19 | - | - | - |
| STUART HAYNES | 24 | 64 | 4 | 7 | 11\% | ISAIAH EMMANUEL VARATHAN | 15 | 49 | 2 | 7 | 14\% |
| LEON VAN DER MESCHT | 24 | 81 | 2 | 3 | 4\% | MICHAEL PARRIS | 15 | 82 | 1 | 5 | 6\% |
| SHAUN VAN ZYL | 23 | 324 | 2 | 12 | 4\% | JOHN MONG | 15 | 30 | - | - | - |
| JONATHAN SCOTT | 23 | 555 | - | 26 | 5\% | MATTHEW MCIVER | 14 | 143 | 4 | 13 | 9\% |
| LUAN ELS | 23 | 56 | 3 | 3 | 5\% | ROBERT KYLE | 14 | 1779 | 2 | 200 | 11\% |
| LYLE TAYLOR | 22 | 270 | 7 | 13 | 5\% | GUY NICHOLSON | 14 | 86 | 1 | 2 | 2\% |
| BRENDAN O'CONNELL | 22 | 455 | 3 | 73 | 16\% | FREEK STANDER | 14 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 10\% |
| DEVAN LAGENDYK | 22 | 70 | 1 | 4 | 6\% |  | 14 | 596 | 3 | 30 | 5\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PETRUS MEY | 14 | 96 | - | 1 | 1\% |
| HEEVER | 22 | 22 | - | - | - | BARRY TEDDER | 14 | 227 | 4 | 8 | 4\% |
| JOHANN RADEMEYER | 21 | 30 | - | - | - | NICHOLAS PIENAAR | 14 | 63 | 1 | 3 | 5\% |
| DILLAN CLAASSEN | 21 | 39 | 2 | 5 | 13\% | CHRIS VAN DER WALT | 13 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 7\% |
| CHRIS KING | 21 | 36 | - | 1 | 3\% | ANDRE VAN NIEKERK | 13 | 13 | - | - | - |
| NOAH KLOPPER | 21 | 21 | - | - | - | JASON HAXTON | 13 | 54 | - | 2 | 4\% |
| JAN GEORGE STEYTLER | 20 | 20 | - | - | - | FRANCOIS VAN ZYL | 13 | 363 | - | 23 | 6\% |
| JOSHUA TIMM | 20 | 157 | 1 | 6 | 4\% | JUANDRE LOTRIET | 13 | 13 | - | - | - |
| CHENELLE MORAN | 20 | 47 | 4 | 4 | 9\% | ZANE HUMAN | 13 | 13 | - | - | - |
| CRAIG CARRUTHERS | 20 | 125 | 1 | 7 | 6\% | CHRISTO VAN TONDER | 12 | 67 | - | 3 | 4\% |
| VAUGHN REILLY | 19 | 224 | 2 | 28 | 13\% | GARY BOUCHER | 12 | 120 | - | 2 | 2\% |
| LOUIS ALLISON | 19 | 508 | 3 | 45 | 9\% | RIEKERT VAN HEERDEN | 12 | 564 | - | 19 | 3\% |
| LISTON DAVIDOWITZ | 19 | 257 | 1 | 18 | 7\% | EMILE VAN TONDER | 12 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 7\% |
| RUSSELL HAND | 19 | 762 | 6 | 91 | 12\% | DAVE HUMAN | 12 | 369 | - | 24 | 7\% |
| PIET OOSTHUIZEN | 19 | 650 | 5 | 135 | 21\% | MICHAEL FARQUHAR | 12 | 110 | - | 8 | 7\% |
| DONOVAN SOLOMON | 19 | 271 | 1 | 52 | 19\% | NICOLAS SWART | 12 | 28 | - | - | - |
| WALTER BRIAN MULLINS | 19 | 172 | 2 | 10 | 6\% | MARK <br> CUNNINGHAM | 11 | 11 | - | - | - |
| RIAAN \& THEA-MARI VAN DER SANDT | 18 | 342 | 2 | 18 | 5\% | BERTUS PRETORIUS LAWRENCE SMITH | 11 | 25 | - | - | - |
| YUSUF DHALECH | 18 | 18 | - | - | - | LAWRENCE SMITH | 11 | 452 | 1 | 23 | 5\% |
| JUSTIN VON BONDE | 18 | 176 | - | 2 | 1\% | JEAN LOUW | 11 | 96 | - | 1 | 1\% |
| CHRISTIAN JACOBY | 17 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 18\% | MJ HILLHOUSE | 11 | 33 | - | - | - |
| GERHARD BRUWER | 17 | 47 | 2 | 4 | 9\% | HARRY FLIGHT | 11 | 11 | - | - | - |
| CHRIS MULLER | 16 | 427 | - | 18 | 4\% | TREVOR HANSEN | 11 | 92 | 1 | 2 | 2\% |
| CORNE ERASMUS | 16 | 165 | 1 | 9 | 5\% | MATT SNYMAN | 11 | 43 | 8 | 11 | 26\% |
| STEVEN HUMPHREYS | 16 | 309 | - | 5 | 2\% | BRUCE MANN | 11 | 470 | - | 40 | 9\% |
| ROB BILLIMORE | 16 | 136 | 1 | 4 | 3\% | CHRIS QUAYLE | 11 | 128 | - | 4 | 3\% |
| JUSTIN MCCARTHY | 15 | 526 | 2 | 35 | 7\% | BRANDEN KARP | 11 | 60 | - | - | - |
| RAYMOND CAMPBELL | 15 | 149 | 1 | 14 | 9\% | FRANS GERBER | 11 | 16 | - | - | - |






## ORI Cooperative Fish Tagging Project Statistics

Fish tagged per year and per angler

\% fish recaptured per year and cumulative number of fish tagged


Year

## Research Tagging in Marine Protected Areas

| Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) | Period | 2020 |  | Overall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | \# Recapt. | Total | \# Recapt. |
| De Hoop Marine Protected Area (Western Cape) | 1985 - current | 1247 | 120 | 61475 | 4539 |
| Dwesa-Cwebe Marine Protected Area (Eastern Cape) | 2009 - current | 451 | 11 | 4371 | 143 |
| Goukamma Marine Protected Area (Western Cape) | 2001 - current | 102 | 3 | 974 | 34 |
| iSimangaliso Marine Protected Area (KwaZulu-Natal) | 2001 - current | 313 | 19 | 10787 | 1388 |
| Pondoland Marine Protected Area (Eastern Cape) | 2006 - current | 209 | 68 | 5002 | 1285 |

## Get the NEW Fish App for Anglers!

## Bruce Mann

In October 2020, the long-awaited ORI Fish App (Marine Fish Guide for Southern Africa) became available for download on cell phone (both Android and iPhone). This app was produced specifically for marine recreational anglers to help improve fish identification and to increase awareness about South Africa's marine linefish species.

## Marine Fish Guide

 for Southern Africa

All profits from the sale of the App are split between the app developer (PDA Solutions) and ORI. Importantly, funds received by ORI go directly into helping to finance the ORI-Cooperative Fish Tagging Project (ORI-CFTP).

The basic structure of the Fish App includes a detailed fish guide (photographs and text), a distribution map for each species, a fish identification tool (smart search), identification guide using fish families, a length/weight calculator, the current fishing regulations for each species and a personal catch log.

The app contains detailed species profiles for 249 common linefish species from 77 families caught in South African waters, using simple, easy to understand text. The app is very simple and intuitive to use. Excellent colour images for each species have been obtained from a wide range of
sources. A useful compare function in the app allows you to compare photos (or text) of similar species. Generalised line drawings of fish families can be used to identify fish in that family. Simple maps are available for the southern African distribution of each species. The fish identification
 smart search is simple to use and works well at narrowing down the species you are looking for. The length/weight calculator was compiled for each species using the most accurate information available and is very quick and easy to use. This is useful when you measure and release your fish but want to know what its weight was. The linefish regulations have been summarised for each individual species based on the current gazetted legislation and can be quickly located at the touch of a button. Finally, there is a useful catch log where you can log your own catches and other interesting observations.

Although initial sales have been slow, we hope that the Fish App will become increasingly popular as anglers discover its usefulness and spread the word. The app will be regularly updated to include any changes in the fishing regulations and to incorporate any new information on the individual species (updates take place automatically on your phone with no added cost).

To purchase the ORI Fish App, please go to Google Play Store (Android phones) or App Store (iPhones) and search for "Marine Fish Guide for Southern Africa". The app only costs R200 to download (less than you spend when you go to the tackle store) so please get yourself a copy now, enjoy it and tell others about it!

## Main fish species tagged up to 31 December 2020

Priority species for tagging are highlighted in blue

| Species | No. Tagged since 1984 | Recaptured since 1984 |  | Km travelled |  | Days free |  | Species | No. Tagged since 1984 | Recaptured since 1984 |  | Km travelled |  | Days free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% | Avg. | Max. | Avg. | Max. |  |  | No. | \% | Avg. | Max. | Avg. | Max. |
| Galjoen | 68332 | 4741 | 7\% | 42 | 1892 | 435 | 5815 | King mackerel / Cuda | 1406 | 60 | 4\% | 372 | 1552 | 543 | 2604 |
| Dusky kob | 22861 | 1641 | 7\% | 27 | 1625 | 347 | 5997 | Scotsman | 1394 | 384 | 28\% | 24 | 1211 | 462 | 2839 |
| Leervis / Garrick | 18254 | 1325 | 7\% | 222 | 2060 | 324 | 3208 | Grey grunter | 1348 | 81 | 6\% | 1 | 21 | 232 | 1099 |
| Dusky shark | 15500 | 1389 | 9\% | 59 | 1374 | 105 | 2772 | Westcoast steenbras | 1306 | 78 | 6\% | 61 | 280 | 253 | 1449 |
| Spotted grunter | 15075 | 405 | 3\% | 12 | 823 | 285 | 2950 | Cape stumpnose | 1286 | 8 | 1\% | 9 | 56 | 188 | 732 |
| Copper / Bronze whaler shark | 10444 | 342 | 3\% | 162 | 1790 | 439 | 3981 | Silver kob | 1240 | 52 | 4\% | 34 | 548 | 275 | 839 |
| Spotted gullyshark | 9790 | 674 | 7\% | 30 | 911 | 543 | 6332 | Duckbill ray | 1230 | 12 | 1\% | 15 | 123 | 575 | 1427 |
| Elf / Shad | 9383 | 371 | 4\% | 282 | 1676 | 175 | 1437 | Blacktip shark | 1152 | 41 | 4\% | 88 | 1288 | 211 | 1148 |
| Blacktail / Dassie | 9205 | 222 | 2\% | 6 | 358 | 273 | 2715 | Soupfin shark / Vaalhaai | 1148 | 29 | 3\% | 127 | 1034 | 737 | 3586 |
| White steenbras | 8345 | 410 | 5\% | 37 | 804 | 286 | 2262 | Scalloped hammerhead shark | 1082 | 18 | 2\% | 121 | 629 | 329 | 2943 |
| Blackspotted smoothhound shark | 7759 | 227 | 3\% | 43 | 582 | 577 | 4405 | Giant / Cape yellowtail | 1044 | 44 | 4\% | 174 | 1746 | 325 | 1380 |
| Raggedtooth shark | 6778 | 1018 | 15\% | 192 | 2966 | 744 | 8256 | Skipjack tuna | 1034 | 1 | 0\% | 1061 | 1061 | 464 | 464 |
| Lesser guitarfish / Sandshark | 6596 | 73 | 1\% | 44 | 726 | 344 | 2572 | Stonebream | 1027 | 9 | 1\% | 75 | 524 | 242 | 563 |
| Giant guitarfish / Sandshark | 5427 | 435 | 8\% | 37 | 1702 | 375 | 2639 | Yellowfin tuna | 1000 | 14 | 1\% | 804 | 5645 | 319 | 1314 |
| Slinger | 5223 | 211 | 4\% | 33 | 1110 | 229 | 2814 | Leopard catshark | 970 | 137 | 14\% | 11 | 722 | 342 | 4431 |
| Roman | 5216 | 337 | 6\% | 4 | 294 | 378 | 7301 | Milk shark | 954 | 25 | 3\% | 90 | 363 | 187 | 772 |
| Bronze bream | 4705 | 148 | 3\% | 20 | 799 | 195 | 1465 | Squaretail kob | 932 | 67 | 7\% | 57 | 1628 | 149 | 2043 |
| Largespotted pompano | 4238 | 77 | 2\% | 12 | 270 | 246 | 1372 | Geelbek / Cape salmon | 906 | 11 | 1\% | 105 | 904 | 335 | 2569 |
| Black musselcracker / Poenskop | 4183 | 318 | 8\% | 25 | 528 | 582 | 6809 | Bigeye kingfish | 886 | 39 | 4\% | 12 | 163 | 246 | 2751 |
| Yellowbelly rockcod | 3983 | 694 | 17\% | 9 | 425 | 375 | 3309 | Honeycomb stingray | 865 | 18 | 2\% | 1 | 8 | 313 | 2543 |
| Giant kingfish | 3865 | 157 | 4\% | 16 | 419 | 364 | 2226 | Blacktip kingfish | 862 | 29 | 3\% | 4 | 54 | 147 | 545 |
| Diamond / Butterfly ray | 3862 | 30 | 1\% | 198 | 1756 | 488 | 2184 | Black marlin | 846 | 3 | 0\% | 1382 | 3633 | 163 | 240 |
| Broadnose sevengill shark | 3853 | 248 | 6\% | 71 | 1154 | 496 | 4332 | Eagleray | 732 | 6 | 1\% | 10 | 49 | 495 | 1582 |
| Catface rockcod | 3757 | 888 | 24\% | 6 | 525 | 172 | 2867 | Spinner / Longnosed blacktip shark | 728 | 24 | 3\% | 92 | 1055 | 195 | 1295 |
| Sailfish | 3582 | 29 | 1\% | 61 | 1060 | 150 | 727 | Dark shyshark | 725 | 152 | 21\% | 4 | 86 | 125 | 1097 |
| Blue stingray | 3492 | 12 | 0\% | 32 | 234 | 290 | 1085 | Seventy-four | 713 | 25 | 4\% | 51 | 521 | 489 | 2845 |
| Zebra / Wildeperd | 3436 | 75 | 2\% | 2 | 52 | 236 | 1399 | Potato bass | 608 | 30 | 5\% | 2 | 22 | 329 | 2639 |
| White musselcracker / brusher | 2970 | 90 | 3\% | 60 | 843 | 558 | 3499 | Hardnosed smoothhound shark | 603 | 9 | 1\% | 87 | 340 | 344 | 870 |
| Speckled snapper | 2650 | 991 | 37\% | 3 | 200 | 287 | 2465 | Natal seacatfish | 595 | 233 | 39\% | 0 | 22 | 378 | 2586 |
| Carpenter / Silverfish | 2601 | 24 | 1\% | 46 | 290 | 932 | 4766 | Tiger shark | 591 | 27 | 5\% | 272 | 4067 | 390 | 1823 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Baardman / Belman } \\ & \text { / Tasslefish } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2531 | 38 | 2\% | 2 | 17 | 436 | 4870 | Janbruin / John Brown | 571 | 15 | 3\% | 1 | 12 | 102 | 279 |
| Santer / Soldier | 2312 | 166 | 7\% | 18 | 490 | 235 | 1683 | Striped marlin | 561 | 2 | 0\% | 805 | 848 | 202 | 379 |
| Striped catshark | 2010 | 162 | 8\% | 6 | 381 | 361 | 2597 | Halfmoon rockcod | 529 | 97 | 18\% | 1 | 49 | 514 | 3189 |
| Sharpnose stingray | 1928 | 7 | 0\% | 7 | 24 | 167 | 465 | Bonefish | 516 | 4 | 1\% | 10 | 34 | 122 | 354 |
| Red / Copper steenbras | 1814 | 189 | 10\% | 119 | 923 | 849 | 8080 | Great white shark | 511 | 17 | 3\% | 290 | 1543 | 346 | 959 |
| Smooth hammerhead shark | 1782 | 22 | 1\% | 133 | 384 | 555 | 3075 | Bull / Zambezi shark | 502 | 32 | 6\% | 76 | 539 | 328 | 2599 |
| Ladyfish / Springer | 1776 | 34 | 2\% | 22 | 412 | 337 | 1426 | Queen mackerel / Natal snoek | 462 | 3 | 1\% | 4 | 12 | 376 | 1044 |
| Natal stumpnose / Yellowfin bream | 1751 | 51 | 3\% | 13 | 230 | 237 | 1451 | Blue marlin | 446 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Perch / River bream | 1598 | 221 | 14\% | 4 | 700 | 365 | 1583 | Southern pompano | 432 | 26 | 6\% | 62 | 464 | 151 | 848 |
| Albacore / Longfin tuna | 1522 | 36 | 2\% | 304 | 1008 | 412 | 2585 | Brown shyshark | 427 | 31 | 7\% | 13 | 102 | 295 | 997 |
| River snapper / Rock salmon | 1507 | 288 | 19\% | 3 | 391 | 315 | 2403 | Pickhandle barracuda | 399 | 57 | 14\% | 2 | 44 | 273 | 1856 |
| Brassy / Greenspot kingfish | 1429 | 78 | 5\% | 11 | 757 | 293 | 1441 | Red stumpnose | 396 | 9 | 2\% | 13 | 107 | 834 | 1998 |
| Dageraad | 1426 | 108 | 8\% | 24 | 592 | 394 | 1968 | Talang / Largemouth queenfish | 390 | 16 | 4\% | 1 | 10 | 193 | 630 |
| Cavebass / Lampfish | 1424 | 214 | 15\% | 10 | 514 | 336 | 2284 | White stumpnose | 386 | 5 | 1\% | 3 | 7 | 245 | 463 |

# Main fish species tagged up to 31 December 2020 

Priority species for tagging are highlighted in blue

| Species | No. Tagged since 1984 | Recaptured since 1984 |  | Km travelled |  | Days free |  | Species | No. Tagged since 1984 | Recaptured since 1984 |  | Km travelled |  | Days free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | \% | Avg. | Max. | Avg. | Max. |  |  | No. | \% | Avg. | Max. | Avg. | Max. |
| Hottentot | 385 | 15 | 4\% | 1 | 10 | 267 | 1078 | Oxeye tarpon | 83 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Puffadder shyshark | 384 | 36 | 9\% | 1 | 20 | 225 | 1363 | Spotted spiny dogfish | 82 | 1 | 1\% | 36 | 36 | 120 | 120 |
| Lemonfish | 378 | 17 | 4\% | 4 | 64 | 230 | 749 | Swordfish | 79 | 1 | 1\% | 9 | 9 | 1263 | 1263 |
| Flapnose houndshark | 344 | 49 | 14\% | 1 | 43 | 740 | 3013 | Greater yellowtail / Amberjack | 76 | 1 | 1\% | 77 | 77 | 27 | 27 |
| Sandbar shark | 334 | 6 | 2\% | 166 | 345 | 250 | 536 | Bigeye stumpnose | 76 | 3 | 4\% | 8 | 21 | 42 | 59 |
| Banded galjoen | 322 | 8 | 2\% | 70 | 562 | 232 | 507 | Yellowspotted kingfish | 73 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eastern little tuna / Kawakawa | 318 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Longfin kingfish | 73 | 1 | 1\% | 12 | 12 | 453 | 453 |
| Bartail flathead | 317 | 8 | 3\% | 2 | 18 | 501 | 1947 | Banded catshark | 68 | 8 | 12\% | 16 | 55 | 423 | 1155 |
| Bluefin kingfish | 306 | 11 | 4\% | 15 | 94 | 131 | 260 | Striped mullet | 66 | 1 | 2\% | 1 | 1 | 230 | 230 |
| Blackspot shark | 297 | 9 | 3\% | 31 | 192 | 296 | 945 | Blue kingfish | 65 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spearnose skate | 293 | 11 | 4\% | 0 | 3 | 223 | 553 | Java shark | 65 | 2 | 3\% | 14 | 18 | 67 | 76 |
| St. Joseph / Elephant fish | 280 | 1 | 0\% | 1342 | 1342 | 218 | 218 | Round ribbontailray | 65 | 2 | 3\% | 4 | 8 | 45 | 74 |
| Bluntnose spiny dogfish | 274 | 4 | 1\% | 189 | 669 | 615 | 1476 | Sailfin rubberlip | 59 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blue emperor | 267 | 18 | 7\% | 32 | 307 | 318 | 975 | Sand steenbras | 58 | 2 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 40 | 79 |
| Snapper kob | 260 | 10 | 4\% | 20 | 132 | 170 | 378 | Cape moony | 56 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Blue hottentot | 246 | 7 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 108 | 199 | Doublespotted queenfish | 56 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Malabar rockcod | 230 | 32 | 14\% | 1 | 8 | 213 | 1540 | Dusky rubberlip | 55 | 2 | 4\% | 92 | 183 | 1495 | 2345 |
| Englishman | 217 | 9 | 4\% | 1 | 6 | 281 | 640 | Minstrel rubberlip | 55 | 2 | 4\% | 19 | 37 | 484 | 679 |
| White seacatfish | 207 | 4 | 2\% | 14 | 21 | 595 | 1895 | Needlescaled queenfish | 55 | 1 | 2\% | 0 | 0 | 227 | 227 |
| Whitespotted smoothhound | 200 | 5 | 3\% | 6 | 15 | 678 | 1627 | Yellowtail scad | 51 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Greyspot guitarfish <br> / Sandshark | 187 | 1 | 1\% | 6 | 6 | 51 | 51 | Prodigal son / Cobia | 49 | 1 | 2\% | 36 | 36 | 479 | 479 |
| Snoek | 181 | 1 | 1\% | 136 | 136 | 491 | 491 | Concertina fish | 48 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Javelin grunter | 178 | 16 | 9\% | 9 | 70 | 378 | 2940 | Marbled electric ray | 48 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Javerin grunter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Thintail thresher | 47 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Green jobfish | 169 | 6 | 4\% | 0 | 0 | 229 | 373 | Spadefish | 47 | 1 | 2\% | 118 | 118 | 2724 | 2724 |
| Dorado / Dolphinfish | 161 | 2 | 1\% | 55 | 64 | 39 | 66 | Shortfin mako | 47 | 5 | 11\% | 24 | 69 | 253 | 786 |
| Shorttail stingray | 158 | 5 | 3\% | 48 | 231 | 508 | 2412 | Panga | 45 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spotted eagleray | 153 | 3 | 2\% | 205 | 597 | 518 | 850 | German | 44 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Striped threadfin | 141 | 2 | 1\% | 5 | 9 | 51 | 63 | False thornback skate | 44 | 2 | 5\% | 0 | 0 | 194 | 340 |
| Smallspotted pompano | 129 | 4 | 3\% | 3 | 13 | 211 | 439 | Swallowtail rockcod | 44 | 4 | 9\% | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 |
| Tomato rockcod | 126 | 20 | 16\% | 2 | 22 | 200 | 574 | Yellowfin emperor | 44 | 4 | 9\% | 0 | 0 | 441 | 1187 |
| Grey reef shark | 118 | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0 | 357 | 697 | Shortbill spearfish | 41 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wreckfish | 39 | 2 | 5\% | 4 | 7 | 231 | 388 |
| Cock grunter | 113 | 5 | 4\% | 14 | 65 | 144 | 490 | Koester | 39 | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 1176 | 1176 |
| Moustache rockcod | 108 | 38 | 35\% | 34 | 1200 | 437 | 2990 | Blue shark | 38 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Thorntail stingray | 106 | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0 | 295 | 357 | Steentjie | 37 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Great barracuda | 105 | 23 | 22\% | 0 | 1 | 170 | 467 | Captain Fine / Whitespotted | 35 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flathead mullet | 102 | 1 | 1\% | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | rockcod |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Indian goatfish | 35 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Russell's snapper | 102 | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 1 | 328 | 896 | Manta | 35 | 1 | 3\% | 6 | 6 | 39 | 39 |
| Whitebarred rubberlip | 99 | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1 | 176 | 176 | Bludger kingfish | 34 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eel catfish | 97 | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1 | 47 | 47 | Tripletail | 33 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cape gurnard | 97 | 3 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 456 | 953 | Surge wrasse | 32 | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| Atlantic bonito | 91 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Milkfish | 31 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maasbanker | 88 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Wahoo | 31 | 1 | 3\% | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| Maasbanker | 88 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 |  |  | Mackerel | 30 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sliteye shark | 88 | 2 | 2\% | 291 | 565 | 1334 | 2652 | Threadfin mirrorfish | 30 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Longfin / Tropical yellowtail | 84 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Twinspot snapper | 30 | 5 | 17\% | 2 | 4 | 139 | 363 |

## Discovering the Secrets of Cavebass

## Bruce Mann



Cavebass, Dinoperca petersi, also known as lampfish or lantern fish, is a relatively common fish species caught in the line-fishery along the KZN and Transkei coasts. It is caught both by shore anglers and ski-boat anglers fishing on offshore reefs and is also occasionally speared. Surprisingly, relatively little is known about the biology and ecology of this species. Movement patterns and growth rate of this species were studied based on data obtained from a long-term tag-recapture study conducted in the iSimangaliso Marine Protected Area in northern KZN between 2001-2019 by Bruce Mann and his team of volunteer anglers. For the study, a total of 775 cavebass $\geq 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ total length (TL) was tagged and released and 111 (14.3\%) were recaptured at least once.

Results showed that cave bass is a highly resident species with a linear home-range size of 290-405 m. Fish appear to remain in caves or under ledges during the day and move out to forage at night. While most fish showed high site fidelity, $8.8 \%$ of the tagged fish showed wider ranging movements covering distances of $2.4-90 \mathrm{~km}$. Like many other reef fish species, these larger movements appeared to be restricted to a few individuals in the population that are born with a "wandering gene". This appears to be an evolutionary strategy which prevents genetic isolation of sub-populations. Interestingly, only five fish showed movements out of no-take zones into adjacent exploited areas, suggesting limited adult spillover. However, spawning in no-take areas will provide a supply of eggs and larvae which
drift in the current and settle in adjacent exploited areas.

Growth rate of tagged fish was found to be reasonably slow compared to other similar predatory reef fish with an average growth rate of 62 mm per year for smaller fish ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and 10 mm per year for larger mature fish ( 550 mm ). With this growth rate, fish are likely reach ages of more than 20 years (assuming there is minimal impact of tagging on the calculated growth rate). Cavebass is not currently listed in the suite of fish species regulated by species-specific minimum size and bag limits in South African fisheries legislation. As such, and based on the results of this study, a minimum size limit of 300 mm TL (based on their estimated size-at-maturity) and a daily bag limit of five fish per person per day is recommended as a precautionary approach for the future management of this species in South African waters.

For more information on this study please see the following reference:

Mann BQ, Jordaan G, Daly R. 2020. Movement patterns and growth rate of cavebass Dinoperca petersi (Pisces: Dinopercidae) in the iSimangaliso Marine Protected Area, South Africa. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science 19(2): 45-59.

Below: A large recaptured cavebass. Note how little biofouling is on the tag - this is because they spend most of the day in caves where there is insufficient light for the algae to photosynthesize.

## Exciting Recaptures From 2020



On the $7^{\text {th }}$ June 2020 we had our $47^{\text {th }}$ flapnose houndshark tag recapture. This recapture story is quite unique in that this shark was tagged and recaptured (twice) by the same angler in the same fishing spot. Louis Allison originally tagged this flapnose houndshark on the 25 th September 2013 at Shelly Beach, KwaZulu-Natal (KZN), measuring 680 mm total length (TL). Remarkably, he recaptured the same shark 2375 days ( 6.5 years) later in the exact same spot, measuring 1080 mm TL before recapturing the shark a second time, again in the exact same spot, 75 days after that! Flapnose houndsharks, as seen with this recapture, are highly resident species. They are endemic to South African waters only occurring off the east coast of South Africa (from East London to northern KZN). Little is known about flapnose houndsharks but because of their limited distribution they have been listed as Vulnerable on the IUCN Red List. Fortunately, they are relatively common in the Pondoland MPA which provides an important refuge for this and other vulnerable species.


On the $4^{\text {th }}$ May 2020 we had our $833^{\text {rd }}$ catface rockcod tag recapture! This rockcod was originally tagged by Julian Pybus on the 21st September 2018 near the Cape Vidal Lighthouse, KwaZulu-Natal (KZN), measuring 550 mm total length (TL), before being recaptured 591 days ( 1.6 years) later by a commercial fisherman in Xai Xai, Mozambique, measuring 690 mm TL. This fish moved a total distance of 509 km and grew about 140 mm during its time at liberty. Catface rockcod were originally thought to be a fairly resident species, but over the years some tagged individuals have been reported undertaking extensive movements of more than 500 km . There have also been anecdotal reports that this may be a "pioneer species" capable of occupying new or vacated niche spaces. More in-depth movement studies are currently being conducted on this species using acoustic telemetry to try and understand more about its movement behaviour.


On the $27^{\text {th }}$ September 2020 we had our $405^{\text {th }}$ Bronze whaler/Copper shark (hereafter bronzies) tag recapture. This shark was originally tagged on the $16^{\text {th }}$ December 2010 by Dirk Swart at Tweede Baai, Western Cape (WC), measuring 188 cm precaudal length (PCL). It was recaptured 3573 days ( 9.7 years) later by Marco Wildemann at Mazeppa Bay, Eastern Cape (EC), measuring 212 cm PCL having moved 1237 km up the coast! Bronzies are often confused with dusky sharks but can be told apart by not having an inter-dorsal ridge between their two dorsal fins. This species is found in warm-temperate waters worldwide and is highly migratory. In South Africa, bronzies are mainly caught along the Western and Eastern Cape coasts, however, they move into KwaZulu-Natal waters in winter during the annual sardine run.


History was made on $13^{\text {th }}$ March 2020, for the ORI-CFTP. Our $1014^{\text {th }}$ raggedtooth shark (raggie) was recaptured after spending 9591 days ( 26.2 years) at liberty with the same tag inserted. A new record for the longest time at liberty for a tagged fish with the same tag in an animal in the ORI-CFTP. This female was originally tagged by Geremy Cliff, from the KwaZulu-Natal Sharks Board, on the 9th December 1993 at Leven Point, 22 km north of Cape Vidal, KZN, measuring $+/-180 \mathrm{~cm}$ precaudal length (PCL). After being free for 1397 days ( 3.8 years) she was first recaptured by Dr Vincent Taylor at the Strand, Western Cape (WC), on the 6th October 1997 (no length was recorded), having moved 1897 km south. She was released again, and recaptured 8194 days ( 22.4 years) later (with the original tag) on the 13th March by Shawn Mey at Frankman's Hoek, WC, measuring 201 cm PCL, 435 km north of the first recapture locality. She only grew 21 cm in under 26 years showing the slow growth rate of raggies. Her recapture at various localities along the coast shows the typical migration pattern of raggies.

## Black musselcracker (Cymatoceps nasutus) <br> 



Recapture: 04 Sept 2020, Xhora River, EC

Tag Release: 23 Sept 2006, Quku River/ Double mouth, EC

Total distance moved $=100 \mathrm{~km}$ Total growth $=425 \mathrm{~mm}$
Total time at liberty = 5095 days ( 14 years)


On the $4^{\text {th }}$ September 2020 we had our $316^{\text {th }}$ Black musselcracker/Poenskop tag recapture. This fish was originally tagged on the $23^{\text {rd }}$ September 2006 by Jay Kruuse at the Quku River/Double Mouth area, Eastern Cape (EC), measuring 415 mm FL. It was recaptured 5095 days ( 14 years) later by Andre Farr off the Xhora River, EC, measuring 840 mm FL and had moved 100 km up the coast! Both juveniles and adults of this species are known to be highly resident, however, some adults are known to move distances of over 100 km , often in an eastward direction. This species has an extremely slow growth rate and changes sex from female to male (protogynous hermaphrodite) at about 18 years of age. They can reach a maximum size of up to 37.8 kg and an age of 45.5 years. Slow growth and late maturity make black musselcracker extremely prone to over-exploitation and marine protected areas (MPAs) are vital in protecting this vulnerable species.

## New ORI Tagging Videos

The Oceanographic Research Institute's Cooperative Fish Tagging Project (ORI-CFTP) has recently released 15 new instructional tagging videos for our new tagging members, current members and members of the angling public. These videos will provide you with the background to the ORI-CFTP and what we need from anglers, as well as give you some important tips on how to be a more aware and responsible angler.

These tagging videos cover all aspects of the ORI-CFTP including: why tag and release a fish; your tagging kit contents; priority species we would like tagged; different hook types and preparation; how to measure different species; handling, landing and tagging various species from the shore and on a boat; recording and sending in tag release information; and most importantly reporting
a recapture and filling in a tag recapture form. Viewers can also see how to handle a fish that may be suffering from barotrauma, a common occurrence in some species caught off a boat.

Included are videos on various hook types, knots and debarbing hooks that ensure quick and safe hook removal from your fish. For new and existing members there are tips on kit maintenance. We encourage all our tagging members to watch and share these useful videos widely.

We thank the South African Association for Marine Biological Research (SAAMBR) for funding, and Billi-Jean Parker and Lynton Richards from Ocean Planet Media, for editing and creating these fantastic videos.

## How to report the recapture of a tagged fish

This video below provides all the information that you need to correctly report tag recapture information. Tag recaptures are one of the most important and exciting aspects of the Oceanographic Research Institute's Cooperative Fish Tagging Project (ORI-CFTP). Recaptured fish allow us to investigate movement patterns, growth rates and population dynamics of the fish species tagged along the southern African coastline and ultimately contribute towards their conservation. What makes the ORI-CFTP so interesting and exciting is seeing where a recaptured fish was originally tagged; how far it has travelled; who originally tagged it and how much it has grown. As anyone who is fishing in the sea stands a chance of catching a tagged fish, it is very important to know exactly what information to record and how to send it to ORI.

## Focus species White musselcracker/Brusher (Sparodon durbanensis)

## Movement:

Total number tagged:
Number recaptured:
Longest time free:
Longest distance moved:
Growth:
Max size:
Max age:
Breeding season:
Breeding location:

## Feeding:

Distribution:

Juveniles and sub-adults (less than 60 cm Fork Length [FL]) are resident in surf-zone reefs, while a proportion of the adult population are thought to undertake a seasonal eastward spawning migration to the Transkei and southern KwaZulu-Natal (KZN).
2970
90 (3\%)
3499 days or 9.6 years ( 2010 to 2019)
843 km (from St Francis Bay, Eastern Cape to Isipingo, KZN)
Relatively slow growth rate. They reach maturity at 35 cm FL and an age of about 5 years.
22.2 kg ; 103 cm FL

31 years
August - January (Spring and Summer).
Transkei and southern KZN where adults are known to form spawning aggregations in shallow water at night.
They take a range of different baits and feed on gastropod molluscs, crabs, red bait, sea urchins and polychaete worms.
They are endemic and found from Cape Point in the Western Cape, to the Thukela River in KZN.


# Stay Comineated 

## www.oritag.org.za

## f <br> You Tube <br> Resources for Anglers

## Oceanographic Research Insitute (ORI)

Cell: +27 79529 0711. Tel: +2731328 8159. Fax: +27 313288188
E-mail: oritag@ori.org.za
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